


The ALMA Keyword Filler



- When is running the AKF more useful in the data process?
- How could we improve the interaction pipeline-archive-filler-AQUA to exchange the information on some keywords avoiding repetitions and providing the same numbers?
- How could we share the definitions (e.g. for noise rms or similar) to be coherent through the data process (i.e. including QA2?)?
- Can we arrange for regular telecons?





Using the Pipeline for Archive Re-Imaging

- Could we directly use the pl approach/parameters used for qa2 also for the archive product generation?
How could we face the major risks (i.e. cycle differences) ?
- How can we define if an image is at least representative of the dataset quality?
Are the pipeline products enough for re-imaging purposes
(=providing previews within well assessed quality limits)?
- Could the re-imaging process be of any use to the pipeline development?
- Which are the basis of the definition of science-readiness of PL products?
 - Which quality checks are done at the pipeline level?

