Imaging & analysis

Rosita Paladino



Spectrometers

A spectrometer divides the passband into N adiacent narrow frequency ranges, and simultaneously measures the power in all N channels.



Modern interferometers use large band receivers. Data are taken in multichannel mode regardless if they are meant for continuum or line observations.

The maximum number of channels in dual polarization mode is **8192 for the VLA 3840 for ALMA**

Interferometric data

Continuum images are obtained combining all the (line-free) channels.

Cont Freq





The resulting image is a 2-Dimensional image at the central frequency.

Continuum images

Multi-Frequency synthesis (MFS)

Wide bandwidths allow higher sensitivity to continuum emission

$$\sigma = \frac{2k}{\eta} \frac{T_{\text{sys}}}{\sqrt{\Delta t \Delta \nu} \sqrt{N_{\text{ant}}(N_{\text{ant}} - 1)}A}$$



MFS combines all channels

the result is a single image

Continuum images

*** Multi-Frequency synthesis (MFS)**

 Wide bandwidths allow higher sensitivity to continuum emission but also
 uv coverage is improved

$$\sigma = \frac{2k}{\eta} \frac{T_{\text{sys}}}{\sqrt{\Delta t \Delta \nu} \sqrt{N_{\text{ant}}(N_{\text{ant}} - 1)}A}$$

* Distance in the uv-plane is proportional to b/λ so observing a large range in wavelengths changes points in the uv-plane into lines.



Spectral line observations

- The imaging process

 is the same as for a continuum map
 but making an image for
 each channel (a cube with
 axes RA, DEC and velocity/frequency)
- * The rms is larger than for continuum
- While imaging it is possible to average channels if the full spectral resolution is not needed





Spectral line observations

- Spectral line data often contains continuum emission from the target which can complicate the detection and analysis of lines
- Model the continuum using channels with no lines: low-order polynomial fit
- Subtract this continuum model from all the channels
- It can be done before imaging in the uv plane (uvcontsub)



In the interferometer the signals from two antennas are cross-correlated each baseline measures one *visibility* (per int, per chan, per pol)



(van Cittert-Zernike theorem)

Fourier space/domain $V(u,v) = \int \int T(x,y)e^{2\pi i(ux+vy)}dxdy$ $T(x,y) = \int \int V(u,v)e^{-2\pi i(ux+vy)}dudv$

Image space/domain

V(u,v) = FT T(x,y)

Consider a two point-like sources as target to observe

I (*x*, *y*)





V(*u*, *v*)

But

we actually sample the Fourier domain at discrete points





where S(u,v) is the sampling function S= 1 at points where visibilities are measured and S = 0 elsewhere

 \boldsymbol{V}_{true} is the 2 point-like sources ideal Fourier transform (example from APSYNSIM)

Applying the convolution theorem:



The Fourier transform FT of the sampled visibilities gives the true sky brightness convolved with the Fourier transform of the sampling function (called **dirty beam**).

$$I^{D}(x, y) = B_{dirty}(x, y) \otimes I(x, y)$$

To get a useful image from interferometric data we need to Fourier transform sampled visibilities, and **deconvolve for the dirty beam** \rightarrow **clean**

Imperfect reconstruction of the sky

Incomplete sampling of uv plane → sidelobes

 $B_{dirty}(x, y)$



- Central maximum has width 1/(u_{max}) in x and 1/(v_{max}) in y
- Has ripples (sidelobes) due to gaps in uv coverage



deconvolution \rightarrow sidelobes removal

Need to choose:

Image pixel size (cellsize)

Make the cell size small enough for Nyquist sample of the longest baseline $(\Delta x < 1 / 2 \ u_{max}; \Delta y < 1 / 2 \ v_{max})$ Usually 1/4 or 1/5 of the synthesized beam to easy deconvolution

Image size (imsize)

The natural resolution in the uv plane samples the primary beam Larger if there are bright sources in the sidelobes of the primary beam (they would be aliased in the image)

Basic assumption: each source is a collection of point sources

1) Initializes the residual map to the dirty map and the Clean component list to an empty value



Basic assumption: each source is a collection of point sources

2) Identifies the pixel with the peak of intensity (I_{max}) in the residual map and adds to the clean component list a fraction of $I_{max} = \gamma I_{max}$



Basic assumption: each source is a collection of point sources

3) Subtracts over the whole map a dirty beam pattern, including the full sidelobes, centered on the position of the peaks saved in the clean component list, and normalized to the γI_{max} at the beam center.



Basic assumption: each source is a collection of point sources

4) Iterates until stopping creteria are reached



Stopping criteria

|I_{max}| < multiple of the rms
(when rms limited)</pre>

Basic assumption: each source is a collection of point sources

4) Iterates until stopping creteria are reached



Stopping criteria

|I_{max}| < multiple of the rms
(when rms limited)</pre>

Basic assumption: each source is a collection of point sources

4) Iterates until stopping creteria are reached



Stopping criteria

|I_{max}| < multiple of the rms
(when rms limited)</pre>

Basic assumption: each source is a collection of point sources

4) Iterates until stopping creteria are reached



Stopping criteria

|I_{max}| < multiple of the rms
(when rms limited)</pre>

Basic assumption: each source is a collection of point sources

 5) Multiples the clean components by the clean beam an elliptical gaussian fitting the central region of the dirty beam
 → restoring



Basic assumption: each source is a collection of point sources

5) Multiplies the clean components by the clean beam (**restore**) and add it back to the residual



Resulting image pixel have units of Jy per clean beam

But

Interferometer elements are sensible to direction of arrival of the radiation Primary beam effect $\rightarrow T(x,y) = A(x,y) T'(x,y)$



The response of the antennas in the array must be corrected for during imaging to get accurate intensities for source outside the core of the beam.

But

Primary beam effect $\rightarrow T(x,y) = A(x,y) T'(x,y)$

T(x,y)



T'(x,y)

rms 8e-4

rms 3e-3

But measured visibilities actually contain noise and some uv ranges are sampled more than others $\sigma(u,v) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{T_{sys1}T_{sys2}}}$

• Gridded visibilities are $\rightarrow V(u,v) = W(u,v) V'(u,v)$



* Natural weighting $W(u,v) = 1/\sigma^2(u,v)$

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the noise variance of the visibilities

* Uniform weighting $W(u,v) = 1/\delta_s(u,v)$

 $\delta_{\underline{v}}$ is the density of (u,v) points in a symmetric region of the uv plane

Unfortunately, in reality, the weighting which produces the best resolution **(uniform)** will often utilize the data very irregularly resulting in poor sensitivity \rightarrow compromises

*** Briggs weighting**

combines inverse density and noise weighting. An adjustable parameter "robust " allows for continuous variation between natural (robust=+2) to uniform (robust=-2)

Clean parameters: weighting, robust

***** Weighting effects on the Dirty beam

Natural 0.29" x 0.23" Best sensitivity **Uniform** 0.24"x0.17" Best angular resolution





***** Weighting effects on the image

Natural res = 0.29" x 0.23" rms = 0.8 mJy/beam Uniform res = 0.24"x0.17" rms = 3 mJy/beam



Possible clean iterations stopping criterium **3* expected sensitivity**

$$\sigma = \frac{2k}{\eta} \frac{T_{\text{sys}}}{\sqrt{\Delta t \Delta \nu} \sqrt{N_{\text{ant}}(N_{\text{ant}} - 1)}A}$$

In mosaics the standard pointing strategy



Hexagonal grid Most efficient coverage with minimal non-uniformity

Sensitivity per pointing improves by a factor 2.5

expected sensitivity

$$\sigma = \frac{2k}{\eta} \frac{T_{\text{sys}}}{\sqrt{\Delta t \Delta \nu} \sqrt{N_{\text{ant}}(N_{\text{ant}} - 1)}A}$$

https://almascience.eso.org/proposing/sensitivity-calculator

	ISYS 157.027 K								
Individual Parameters									
	12 m Array			7 m Array			Total Power Array		
Number of Antennas	43	43		10 🖌			3		
Resolution	0	✓ a	ircsec 🔻	0 🗸	arc	csec 🗸	16.9	•	arcsec 🔻
Sensitivity (rms)	197.67559092477822	~	uJy 🔻	2.4826852653365648	/	mJy 🔻	4.85010668201959	~	mJy 🔻
Equivalent to	Unknown		Кт	Unknown		Кт	0.174		mK 🔻
Integration Time	60	~	s 🗸	60	~	s 🕶 🛛	60	•	🖍 s 🗸
				Integration Time Unit Option	A	utomatic			•
				Sensitivity Unit Option	A	utomatic			•

How to read the results from the viewer: **statistics in a region**



Statistics	Fit File	Histogram	1	2°01'17'' - 🔶
				18 ^h 54 ^m 00 ^s
Velocity	St	okes	Brightnessl	Jnit
0km/s	I		Jy/beam	
Npts	Si	ım	FluxDensity	
101	3,	338535e+00	1,816012e-01	
Rms	St	d dev	Minimum	
4,457779e	-02 3,	005836e-02	-3,312509e-03	3
region co	unt			
1				
			next	
	Statistics Velocity 0km/s Npts 101 Rms 4,457779e region co 1	StatisticsFitFileVelocity 0km/sSt 1NptsSt 3,NptsSt 3,RmsSt 4,457779e-021	StatisticsFitFileHistogramVelocity 0km/sStokes I0km/sINpts 101Sum 3,338535e+00Rms 4,457779e-02Std dev 3,005836e-02region count 1	StatisticsFitFileHistogramVelocity 0km/sStokes IBrightnessU Jy/beamNptsIJy/beam1013,338535e+00FluxDensity 1,816012e-01Rms 4,457779e-02Std dev 3,005836e-02Minimum -3,312509e-03region count 1II

Flux density : the integrated flux density in the region [Jy]

Peak: the maximum pixel value in the region [Jy/beam]

How to read the results from the viewer: **rms in an empty region**



Properties	Statistics Fit	File Histogram	2°01'00" - 1 18 ^h 54 ^m 01 ^e .5
G35.03.cont -			
Frequency	Velocity	Stokes	BrightnessUnit
3.42851e+11Hz	0km/s	I	Jy/beam
BeamArea	Npts	Sum	FluxDensity
18.3839	6466	3,743100e-01	2,036077e-02
Mean	Rms	Std dev	Minimum
5,788896e-05	7,362463e-04	7,340237e-04	-2,500199e-03
Maximum	region count		
2,088679e-03	1		
			next

rms: the root mean square of the measures [Jy/beam]

How to read the results from the viewer: **Number of beams in a region**

Properties G35.03.cont	Statistics Fit	File Histogram]
Frequency	Velocity	Stokes	BrightnessUnit
3.42851e+11Hz	0km/s		Jy/beam
BeamArea	Npts	Sum	FluxDensity
18.3839	6466	3,743100e-01	2,036077e-02
Mean	Rms	Std dev	Minimum
5,788896e-05	7,362463e-04	7,340237e-04	-2,500199e-03
Maximum 2,088679e-03	region count 1		next

Npts/BeamArea= number of beams in the region

Error on your flux density measurements

The current standard calibration techniques provide a $\sim 10\%$ amplitude calibration accuracy

You measure F

The uncertainty on your measure is

$$\sqrt{(rms*\sqrt{N_{beam}})^2+(0.10*F)^2}$$

where $N_{\mbox{\tiny beam}}$ is Npts/BeamArea



Integrated line intensity Moment 0

Velocity field Moment 1

Velocity dispersion Moment 2

Moment maps

Integration along the velocity axis





Moment 0 : each pixel shows the integrated intensity over the velocity axis

Moment 1 : each pixel shows the intensity-weighted velocity



The uncertainty of the mom0 image is

$$rms * \sqrt{N_{chan}} * \delta v$$

where rms is the rms measured in line-free channels

Antennae SV data



[km s-1]

Herrera et al. 2012

Antennae SV data



Herrera et al. 2012





Antennae SV data



From mom0 image it is possible to measure the integrated flux density in a region

 $S_{co} = 380 \pm 11 \text{ Jy km/s}$

it is equivalent to measure the area of the spectrum extracted from the same region



The CO(1–0) integrated intensity map can be used to calculate the **molecular gas mass** using the CO-to-H₂ conversion factor:

$$X_{CO} = 2 \times 10^{20} \frac{cm^{-2}}{K \, km \, s^{-1}}$$

$$M_{mol} = 1.05 \times 10^{4} \frac{X_{CO}}{2 \times 10^{20} \frac{cm^{-2}}{K \, km \, s^{-1}}} \frac{S_{CO} D_{L}^{2}}{(1+z)}$$

 $\mathbf{M}_{_{\mathrm{mol}}}$ is in Solar masses

S_{co} is the integrated
 line flux density in
 Jy km/s

D_L is the luminosity distance in **Mpc**

Bolatto 2013

The Kennicut-Schmidt law is a relationship between **gas surface density** and star formation rate surface density.

