## ALMA observations of local galaxies and AGN

#### Claudia Cicone Marie Curie fellow @ INAF-Brera (Milan)

Photo credit:Y. Beletsky/ESO

#### Outline

#### Introduction

#### 6 science cases for ALMA (local galaxies and AGNs)

- 1. Star formation laws, CO and high density tracers
- 2. AGN vs SF diagnostic diagrams in the mm/submm
- 3. Resolving the obscuring torus and AGN fueling
- 4. SMBH mass estimates and M- $\sigma$  relation
- 5. Extremely obscured nuclei
- 6. Massive molecular outflows and feedback mechanisms

1-5 expected
~10 yrs ago
(Maiolino2008)

#### Conclusions

#### The cold ISM: dust



(Bands 1 -11)

#### The cold ISM: using CO to trace H<sub>2</sub>

- H<sub>2</sub> IR rovibrational transitions *do no trace* cold H<sub>2</sub> (= bulk of H<sub>2</sub> gas), so we use <sup>12</sup>CO(1-0), <sup>12</sup>CO(2-1)
- But these low-J<sup>12</sup>CO transitions are optically thick in individual clouds: we only see the outer layers. Where is the trick?
  - 1) For individual GMCs,  $L_{CO}$  is proportional to  $M_{vir}$ 2) For collections of GMCs (i.e. a galaxy),  $L_{CO}$  is a "clouds counter"





Allows use of <sup>12</sup>CO to measure  $M_{mol}$ :  $M_{mol} = \alpha_{CO} L_{CO}'$ 

Solomon+87, Scoville03, Bolatto+08, Kennicutt+Evans12

## CO observations and S-K law



Map showing the Orion nebula, a star forming region in our Galaxy. Molecular gas in red and stellar IR emission in blue

#### Hacar+18

Fundamental relation between H<sub>2</sub> mass and SFR, holding over different redshifts, SFR and gas tracers with different slopes (slope >1 if using CO, implies  $\tau_{H2}$  shorter for large M<sub>H2</sub> and SFR)

But CO census of galaxy population is incomplete

#### High density tracers and star formation law



-8

2

optically thin conditions) of some among the brightest molecular transitions

Gao+Solomon04, Wu+05, Lada+12

8

6

log(M) [M<sub>.</sub>]

10

12

#### H<sub>2</sub> gas content of inactive vs active galaxies



Conflicting results:

- No difference? Maiolino+97, Rosario+18
- AGNs (H<sub>2</sub>) gas richer? Vito+14
- AGNs (H<sub>2</sub>) gas poorer? Mostly based on high-z studies of AGNs (additional complications)
   Brusa+15, Fiore+17, Kakkad+17, Perna+18

There is room for a large, unbiased survey of  $H_2$  gas in AGNs but need multi-wavelength characterization of host galaxy: different SFR tracers, metallicity, and account for selection effects to isolate role of AGNs

### Identifying AGNs in the (sub-)mm band: diagnostic line ratios





#### Circumnuclear structures around AGNs



#### The torus of NGC1068 as seen by ALMA



Dusty torus in NGC1068 detected in CO(7-6)

Garcia-Burillo+16 Imanishi+16,18

CO7-6 and CO6-5 data, res ~ 4 pc

Rotation pattern perturbed by strong non circular motions and turbulence -> related to AGN feeding and feedback?



Evidence for a bipolar CO outflow (v~400 km/s): disk-wind scenario for the obscuring torus

#### Resolving the torus and AGN fueling



- Systematic study of molecular tori with ALMA on nearby low luminosity AGNs.
   CO(3-2), resolution ~ a few pc
- Structures consistent with tori in 6/7 sources. Sizes ~ 6-30 pc, M~ few x  $10^7 M_{sun}$
- Torus kinematics decoupled from largerscale disk: different inclination and angle
- AGN tori are asymmetric and off-centered.
   Two sources show a nuclear spiral supporting AGN fueling

Combes+19

### SMBH masses and M<sub>SMBH</sub> – $\sigma_{\rm star}$ relations



### ALMA-based SMBH masses

CO(3-2) obs of lenticular galaxy



Molecular gas disk with radius = 400 pc and inner hole with r= 40 pc (sphere of influence of SMBH is ~15 pc) **Davis2014:** CO-based M<sub>BH</sub> estimates only need to resolve x2 the formal SMBH sphere of influence  $(r_{SOI} \sim GM_{BH} / \sigma_*^2)$ Kinematic signature of SMBH = rotational velocitie higher than expected from luminous matter (stars

Kinematic signature of SMBH = rotational velocities higher than expected from luminous matter (stars), can be detected up to  $2r_{SOI}$  but need good model of stars and dynamically cold + unperturbed CO disks Davis 2014, Onishi+17, Davis+17,18



### Extremely opaque nuclei (or CONs)

Milky Way's central molecular zone (CMZ): Size ~ 450 x 150 pc  $M_{H2}$  ~ 2-6 x 10<sup>7</sup>  $M_{Sun}$ 

Martin+04, Molinari+14, Kauffmann+17



#### NGC4418:

Compact obscured nucleus  $^{20pc}$ Size < 20 pc  $M_{H2} \sim 10^8 M_{sun}$  $N_{H} > 10^{25} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , <n> $\sim 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ 

Sakamoto+10,13, Costagliola+13, Varenius+14



Some (U)LIRGs harbor CONs. Hot optically thick SBs vs hidden AGNs? Hard X-rays are absorbed and mid-IR is optically thick

#### To identify CONs: Aalto+15ab, Martin+16

- 1) High resolution (sub)mm continuum imaging
- 2) Luminous vibrationally excited HCN lines
- 3) Self absorbed HCN, HCO+ lines, P-Cygni or reversed P-Cygni in nuclear regions

# Galactic outflows as a solution for many galaxy evolution puzzles

- M<sub>baryon</sub> M<sub>halo</sub> relation: little baryons in (low and high-M) haloes due to (SF- and AGN-driven) outflows? Dekel+Silk86, Papastergis+12, Hopkins+14
- 2. SSFR bimodality and  $[\alpha/Fe]$ -enhancement of massive spheroids:
  - Quenching through direct ejection? Di Matteo+05, Menci+08, Hopkins+08, Zubovas+King12
  - Delayed impact, quenching through starvation? Gabor+Bournaud14, Roos+15, Peng+15, Trussler+18, Costa+18ab, Biernacki+Teyssier18
- 3.  $M_{BH} \sigma_*$  relation, AGN-galaxy coevolution set by AGNdriven outflows? Silk+Rees98, King+03, Sijacki+07
- 4. [Mass-metallicity relation, missing metals, etc...]



#### Galactic outflows are multiphase

Outflow gas phase	Primary tracers	Average gas temperature, $< T_{gas} >$ (K)	Average gas density, $< n_{gas} >$ (particles per cm <sup>3</sup> )
Highly ionized	X-ray absorption lines	10 <sup>6</sup> -10 <sup>7</sup>	10 <sup>6</sup> -10 <sup>8</sup>
lonized	[Ο III]; Hα	10 <sup>3</sup> -10 <sup>4</sup>	10 <sup>2</sup> -10 <sup>4</sup>
Neutral atomic	H   21cm; NaID; [C   ]	10 <sup>2</sup> -10 <sup>3</sup>	1-10 <sup>2</sup>
Molecular	CO; OH; [C II]; H <sub>2</sub> infrared lines	10-10 <sup>2</sup>	≥10 <sup>3</sup>

- Multi-phase nature of galactic winds acknowledged since the 1980s, including H<sub>2</sub> component Turner85, Nakai+87
- High level of complexity especially in AGNs and (U)LIRGs, often little overlap between different gas phases in outflow Rupke+Veilleux13, Rupke+17

#### Cicone+18a

Cold H<sub>2</sub> phase in outflow probably the most challenging to understand and model: <u>Clearly a science case for ALMA</u>

### Outflows in starburst galaxies







'Super winds' driven by kinetic energy released by clustered SNe + stellar winds and/or by momentum transferred by UV radiation to dusty cloud
 Chevalier+Clegg85, Heckman+90, Veilleux+05, Murray+05, Dave+11
 Multiphase outflows commonly observed in local SBs dM<sub>out</sub>/dt ~ SFR -> mass loading η ~ 1

(although see NGC 253: η~10-20?) Zschaechner+18

#### Massive molecular outflows in (U)LIRGs



Cicone+14

- A revolution in the field (2010): discovery of extremely massive molecular outflows in local (U)LIRGs: M~10<sup>8</sup>-10<sup>9</sup> M<sub>Sun</sub> of cold H<sub>2</sub> gas at v ~ 10<sup>2</sup>-10<sup>3</sup> km/s and extending by several kpc
- Much more extreme than previously known H<sub>2</sub>
   outflows (e.g. M82, NGC253): dM<sub>out</sub>/dt >> SFR,
   point to AGN driving mechanism
- CO wings tracing the outflow are >10-20 times
   fainter than line peak -> ALMA is a game changer

ALMA –based z~0 molecular outflow studies: Combes+13, Garcia-Burillo+14, Sakamoto+14, Sun+14, Alatalo+15, Dasyra+16, Zschaechner+16,+18, Veilleux+17, Vayner+17, Privon+17, Gowardhan+18, Harada+18, Barcos-Munoz+18, Aladro+18, Fluetsch+19 (etc..)

#### Theoretical models of AGN-driven outflows



- Blast-wave: nuclear winds with v=0.1c shock surrounding ISM and generate large-scale energyconserving outflow
  - (i) Concurrence of X-ray UFO and galactic outflow
  - (ii) Kinetic power ~a few % L<sub>AGN</sub>; momentum flux ~ 20 L<sub>AGN</sub>/c
     Silk+Rees98, King10,Zubovas+King12, Faucher-Giguere+12,
  - Costa+14,+15, Nims+15
- 2. Radiation pressure on dusty clouds, enhanced for  $\tau_{IR}$ >>1 and high L<sub>AGN</sub>. Kinetic power depends on  $\tau_{IR}$  and source geometry, but mostly dE<sub>kin</sub>/dt<1% L<sub>AGN</sub> and momentum fluxes ~ 1-5 L<sub>AGN</sub>/c

Fabian12, Thompson+14, Ishibashi+Fabian15, Bieri+17, Ishibashi, Fabian+Maiolino18, Costa+18ab

Costa+18b

# Testing AGN feedback models through outflow energetics



- Broad range of kinetic powers (0.1-5% L<sub>AGN</sub>) and momentum fluxes (1-20 L<sub>AGN</sub>/c)
   Cicone+14 Fiore+17 Bischetti+18 Fluetsch+19
- Consistent with both AGN driving mechanisms, with a contribution from SF and possibly hidden jets. Consider also AGN variability/flickering
- ALMA is providing us with better statistics and the picture is getting even more complicated (see Mattia Sirressi's talk yesterday)

## ALMA resolves the outflow launching point in merger and dual AGN NGC6240



- Geometry suggests link with merger (see Hani+18)

Cicone+18b

 $(res \sim 120 pc)$ 

### Large uncertainties on H<sub>2</sub> outflow energetics

- To understand feedback we need tighter constraints on outflow masses/energetics -> need multiple tracers (possible with ALMA)
- Estimates based on CO, OH or [CII] in the absence of additional tracers are affected by large uncertainties (especially when only high-J CO transitions are available)
- Reasonably,  $\alpha_{CO} (\equiv M_{mol}/L'_{CO(1-0)})$  in metal-rich outflows ranges **between 0.3 and 4** ( $\alpha_{CO} \sim 0.8$ often recommended for ULIRGs)
- Low  $\alpha_{CO} \sim 0.3$  measured in jet-driven outflows in line with Richings & Faucher-Giguere 2018

CO(4-3)/CO(2-1) flux ratio < 4 in quiescent disk



Dasyra+16, Oosterloo+17

## $[CI]^{3}P_{1}-^{3}P_{0}$ as an alternative H<sub>2</sub> tracer: the first resolved [CI] map of a galactic molecular outflow



CO and CI well mixed in molecular ISM and outflows (thanks to turbulence and CRs) Papadopoulos+04,+18, Bisbas+15,+17, Glover+15

[CI]1-0 allows to estimate  $M_{H2}$  independent of  $\alpha_{CO}$ . Use  $T_{ex}$ =30 K and  $X_{CI}$ =(3+-1.5)x10<sup>-5</sup> (appropriate for ULIRGs, e.g. Weiss+03,05)

Great legacy value for high-z [CI] studies with ALMA

## The $\alpha_{CO}$ of outflowing gas



 $< \alpha_{CO}^{quiescent} >= 3.2 (\pm 1.8) M_{Sun} [K km s^{-1} pc^{2}]^{-1}$  $< \alpha_{CO}^{outflow} >= 2.1 (\pm 1.2) M_{Sun} [K km s^{-1} pc^{2}]^{-1}$ 

- In quiescent gas  $\alpha_{CO}$  is *formally* consistent with MW value (~4.3)
- α<sub>CO</sub> lower in outflow, independent of R:
   outflows host warm + diffuse H<sub>2</sub> 'envelope'
   phase advocated by earlier ULIRGs studies
   Aalto+95, Downes+Solomon98
- However α<sub>co</sub> > 0.3 and > 'ULIRG' value (0.8) everywhere. Not all outflow material is diffuse and warm, but *dense gas is entrained*

Cicone+18b

# Summary and future prospects with ALMA (personal view)

1. Star formation laws: S-K relation, CO and high density tracers

Populate S-K law (low-M<sub>\*</sub>, AGNs, green valley), overcome statistical biases, explore different H<sub>2</sub> tracers

2. AGN vs SF diagnostic diagrams in the mm/submm

Not clear whether this is a promising line of research for ALMA: time consuming, need more solid theoretical grounds, poor predicting power. Future facilities will provide IR/optical diagnostics on large samples

3. Resolving the obscuring torus and AGN fueling

Comes for free with ALMA long baseline campaigns targeting galaxy nuclei and AGNs

4. SMBH mass estimates and  $\rm M_{BH}\text{-}$   $\sigma_*$  relation

Calibrating method in local galaxies useful to address biases at high-z. Rotation perturbed down to torus scales

5. Extremely obscured nuclei (CONs)

Important clues on AGN-galaxy coevolution and spheroid formation, HCN-VIB surveys

6. Massive molecular outflows and feedback mechanisms

Detailed multi-tracer (multi-J CO, [CI], CN, HCN, etc) studies, constrain physical properties of gas in outflow